

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/960,715	09/960,715 09/21/2001		Larry Routhenstein	31644-8002.US01	4275	
22918	7590	04/07/2006		EXAMINER		
PERKINS	COIE LI	LP	TAYLOR, APRIL ALICIA			
P.O. BOX 2 MENLO PA		94026	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Albando Alban, ell y les				2876	2876	
				DATE MAII ED: 04/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/960,715	ROUTHENSTEIN, LARRY		
Examiner	Art Unit		
April A. Taylor	2876		

ne a music e la continue l									
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
	April A. Taylor	2876							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 February 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO									
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		\ and the apprenriate ext	racion foo hava						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. stutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ly reduce any						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expine a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be the NOMENIA COMMENTS.</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal-o	of the appeal.						
AMENDMENTS	back and an Albarataka at Ettion at their	· •							
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);							
appeal; and/or									
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	-	jected claims.							
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ul>	·	timely filed amondm	ont concoling						
the non-allowable claim(s).	nowabie ii submitted in a separate	, timery med amendir	ent cancering						
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	•								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•								
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils tò provide a 1).						
IO. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.						
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:						
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).							
3. Other:	, ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	200						
		STEV	EN S. PAIK						
			Y EXAMINER						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The terminal disclaimer filed 27 February 2006 is not acceptable because the patent being disclaimed has been improperly identified since the number used to identify the patent being disclaimed is incorrect. The correct number is 6,805,288.